

## RE: SC 54/2013: Vincent Ross Siemer v Michael Heron & Ors

From: **Vince Siemer** (vsiemer@hotmail.com)  
Sent: Friday, 28 June 2013 3:09:15 p.m.  
To: Gordon Thatcher (gordon.thatcher@justice.govt.nz); Tim Clarke (tim.clarke@bellgully.com); Alice Orsman (alice.orsman@justice.govt.nz)  
Cc: Shane Elliott (shane.elliott@bellgully.com); paul Wicks (pfwicks@xtra.co.nz)

Thanks Mr Thatcher,

Before I point out the obvious error in relying upon Rule 5 in this instance, please advise whether Willy Young J relied upon this Rule or whether it is your supposition this Rule applies?

Kind regards,

*Vince Siemer*

Editor  
*Spartan News Limited*  
on-line NZ news: [www.kiwisfirst.co.nz](http://www.kiwisfirst.co.nz)  
27 Clansman Terrace  
Gulf Harbour, Auckland  
Phone: 027 444 1218 (Intl: 64 27 444 1218)

---

Date: Fri, 28 Jun 2013 14:59:24 +1200  
From: Gordon.Thatcher@justice.govt.nz  
To: tim.clarke@bellgully.com; vsiemer@hotmail.com; Alice.Orsman@justice.govt.nz  
CC: shane.elliott@bellgully.com; pfwicks@xtra.co.nz  
Subject: RE: SC 54/2013: Vincent Ross Siemer v Michael Heron & Ors

No judgment - so you have not missed anything.

A direction pursuant to Rule 5 of the Supreme Court Rules 2004.

### 5 Directions

(1) The Court may, in relation to any matter that arises in a case, give any directions that seem necessary for the just and expeditious resolution of the matter.

(2) If any case arises for which no form of procedure is prescribed by these rules, the Court must dispose of the case as nearly as practicable in accordance with provisions of these rules affecting any similar case, or, if there are no such rules, in the manner that the Court thinks best calculated to promote the ends of justice.

(3) The Court may give directions to determine the form of documents to be filed in proceedings.

>>> Vince Siemer <vsiemer@hotmail.com> 28/06/2013 2:53 p.m. >>>

Am I the only one who has not seen this judgment??....

I would like a copy of it in order to consider whether an application for a full court review is warranted.

Generally speaking, it would be helpful to know ahead of time when a judge is considering operating outside the legal framework.

Regards,

*Vince Siemer*

Editor

*Spartan News Limited*

on-line NZ news: [www.kiwisfirst.co.nz](http://www.kiwisfirst.co.nz)

27 Clansman Terrace

Gulf Harbour, Auckland

Phone: 027 444 1218 (Intl: 64 27 444 1218)

---

Date: Fri, 28 Jun 2013 11:53:35 +1200

From: Gordon.Thatcher@justice.govt.nz

To: tim.clarke@bellgully.com; vsiemer@hotmail.com; Alice.Orsman@justice.govt.nz

CC: shane.elliott@bellgully.com; pfwicks@xtra.co.nz

Subject: RE: SC 54/2013: Vincent Ross Siemer v Michael Heron & Ors

The decision Ms Orsman passed on to you was made by Hon Justice William Young.

---

>>> Vince Siemer <vsiemer@hotmail.com> 28/06/2013 11:47 a.m. >>>

Thank you Ms Orsman for your email.

I object to combining two distinct appeals dealing with distinct legal events and issues (as here).

My latest leave application is also in respect to a judicial decision which has occurred subsequent to the matter you now seek to combine it with.

The Registrar is being copied in on this email exchange not only because I submit it is lawfully improper to combine the appeals but also because you have no power to make this judicial decision.

Please advise.

*Vince Siemer*

Editor

*Spartan News Limited*

on-line NZ news: [www.kiwisfirst.co.nz](http://www.kiwisfirst.co.nz)

27 Clansman Terrace

Gulf Harbour, Auckland

Phone: 027 444 1218 (Intl: 64 27 444 1218)

---

Date: Fri, 28 Jun 2013 11:12:01 +1200

From: Alice.Orsman@justice.govt.nz

To: tim.clarke@bellgully.com; vsiemer@hotmail.com

CC: shane.elliott@bellgully.com; pfwicks@xtra.co.nz

Subject: SC 54/2013: Vincent Ross Siemer v Michael Heron & Ors

Dear Mr Siemer

On the 25th June 2013, you filed a fresh application for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court against a decision of Wild J from the minute of 18 June 2013, relating to the judgment [2013] NZCA 204.

Please be advised that this new application will be considered under the current file SC 54/2013, which also seeks leave to appeal the judgment [2013] NZCA 204.

A copy of this email is being sent to the respondents.

Yours faithfully,

**Alice Orsman** | Case Officer

Supreme Court of New Zealand

Ministry of Justice | Tāhū o te Ture

( EXTN 53433 | DDI 04 914 3433

\* [alice.orsman@justice.govt.nz](mailto:alice.orsman@justice.govt.nz)

=====

Confidentiality notice: This email may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you have received it by mistake, please:

(1) reply promptly to that effect, and remove this email and the

reply from your system;  
(2) do not act on this email in any other way.  
Thank you.

=====  
=====

Confidentiality notice: This email may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you have received it by mistake, please:

- (1) reply promptly to that effect, and remove this email and the reply from your system;
  - (2) do not act on this email in any other way.
- Thank you.

=====  
=====

Confidentiality notice: This email may contain information that is confidential or legally privileged. If you have received it by mistake, please:

- (1) reply promptly to that effect, and remove this email and the reply from your system;
  - (2) do not act on this email in any other way.
- Thank you.

=====